

Weekly Updates On nu e Meeting

Chi_Squared check & Flux High Energy Tail Fitting



Wenting Tan

Hampton University

July 31, 2013



Genie Data and Ke Prediction from Original Flux Histogram





Genie Data and Ke Prediction from Original Flux Histogram

Bin-size: 0.5 GeV

Range(GeV)	0-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	0-30
chi^2	1.474	0.807	0.734	0.480	0.190	0.286	1.645

Genie Data and Ke Prediction from Flux Function




Genie Data and Ke Prediction from Flux Function Histogram

Bin-size: 0.5 GeV

Range(GeV)	0-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	0-30
chi^2	1.483	0.833	0.952	0.814	0.436	0.679	2.586

Ke_FluxFun vs Ke_FluxHist



right:

$(\text{Ke_Prid_FluxFunction} - \text{Ke_Prid_FluxHist}) / \text{Ke_Prid_FluxHist}$

Range(GeV)	0-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	0-30
χ^2	0.00034	0.00632	0.02043	0.05956	0.09198	0.14598	0.275

High Energy Tail Normalization

Use the tail(20-50 GeV) of the electron energy data to constrain the flux high energy tail(20-50 GeV).

In the prediction(flux histogram):

take the ratio flux->Integral(20,50)/Ke data -> Integral(20,50)

$$R_1 = \frac{\text{FluxHistIntegral}(20 - 50 \text{ GeV})}{\text{KePredictionIntegral}(20 - 50 \text{ GeV})} = \frac{8.0147e - 6}{344}$$

In the ke fitting code, 20-50 tail integral of the ke data should be equal to the fitted ke:

$$R_2 = \frac{\text{FittedFluxFunctionIntegral}(20 - 50 \text{ GeV})}{\text{KeDataIntegral}(20 - 50 \text{ GeV})} = \frac{\text{FittedFluxFunctionIntegral}(20 - 50 \text{ GeV})}{343}$$

the two ratio R₁and R₂should be equal, R₁ = R₂, then we get:

$$\text{FittedFluxFunctionIntegral}(20 - 50 \text{ GeV}) = 7.9914e - 6$$

and because

$$\text{InitialFluxFunctionIntegral}(20 - 50 \text{ GeV}) = 8.0091e - 6$$

ratio of the scaling parameter is

$$\frac{\text{FittedPar}}{\text{InitialPar}} = \frac{7.9914e - 6}{8.0091e - 6} = 0.99779$$

so, the Fitted Parameter is:

$$\text{FittedPar} = 0.99779 \times \text{InitialPar} = 0.99779 \times 1.20056e - 05 = 1.198e - 05$$

Error is :

$$\text{Err} = \sqrt{8.0147e - 6} \times \frac{\sqrt{343}}{\sqrt{344}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{8.0147e - 6}} \times 1.20056e - 05$$

Fitting the Scaling Par of the tail

Fitted numbers are from last week, not the truth.

p6 is the parameter got fitted.

Par	p0(a1)	p1(m1)	p2(s1)	p3(a2)
True	1.70e-04	5.49215	1.58290	5.83993e-5
Fitted	1.718e-4	5.418	1.573	4.040e-5
Error	0.046e-4	0.148	0.046	0.921e-5
Par	p4(m2)	p5(s2)	p6(a3)	p7(m3)
True	3.07003e+00	7.28800e-1	1.20056e-05	8.21823e+00
Fitted	fixed	9.913e-1	1.198e-05	fixed
Error	–	3.486e-1	?	–
Par	p8(s3)	p9(L1)	p10(L2)	p11(L3)
True	2.47368e+00	$\frac{9.70000e-06}{p6} \times p6$	1.60000e+01	2.20160e+00
Fitted	fixed	combined with p6	fixed	fixed
Error	–	–	–	–
Par	a0	m0	s0	
Value	1.01614e-5	6.46886e-1	2.65780e-1	